1	Gregory M. Sheffer (SBN 173124) Laralei Schmohl (SBN 203319)	
2	SHEFFER & CHANLER LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW	
3	160 Sansome Street, 2 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94104	
4	Telephone: (415) 434-9111 Facsimile: (415) 434-9115	
5		
6	Attomeys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	
7	Ann G. Grimaldi (SBN 160893) Eric S. C. Lindstrom (SBN 197063)	
8	MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGÉ LLP Spear Street Tower, 35th Floor	
9	One Market San Francisco, California 94105	and the second of the second o
10	Telephone: (415) 267-4000 Facsimile: (415) 267-4198	
11	Attorneys for Defendant	
12	THE DISCOVERY CHANNEL STORE, INC	•
13		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15	in and for the cou	NTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
16		
17	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.,	CASE NO. CGC-03-418037
	17 2 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT
19	Plaintiff, vs. DISCOVERY CHANNEL STORE, INC., a subsidiary of DISCOVERY	ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT
19 20 21	Plaintiff, vs. DISCOVERY CHANNEL STORE, INC., a	ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT
19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, vs. DISCOVERY CHANNEL STORE, INC., a subsidiary of DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS, INC., and DOES 1	ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT
19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, vs. DISCOVERY CHANNEL STORE, INC., a subsidiary of DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS, INC., and DOES 1 through 150,	ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT
19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, vs. DISCOVERY CHANNEL STORE, INC., a subsidiary of DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS, INC., and DOES 1 through 150,	ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, vs. DISCOVERY CHANNEL STORE, INC., a subsidiary of DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS, INC., and DOES 1 through 150,	ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, vs. DISCOVERY CHANNEL STORE, INC., a subsidiary of DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS, INC., and DOES 1 through 150,	ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, vs. DISCOVERY CHANNEL STORE, INC., a subsidiary of DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS, INC., and DOES 1 through 150,	ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT

SF:27107180.1

27086934

This Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment ("Agreement" or "Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. ("Dr. Leeman") and defendant The Discovery Channel Store, Inc. ("DCS") on December 11, 2003 (the "Effective Date"). Dr. Leeman and DCS are collectively referred to herein as the "Parties" and hereby agree to the following terms and conditions:

WHEREAS:

- A. Dr. Leeman is an individual residing in Sacramento, California, who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products:
- B. DCS has distributed and sold a kit ("Sleep Well Tonight") that contains glassware products with colored designs on the exterior with materials that contain lead (or lead compounds) (the "Listed Chemicals"). DCS represents that, in response to Dr. Leeman's investigation and enforcement activities, it no longer sells Sleep Well Tonight in California;
- C. On February 7, 2003, Dr. Leeman first served DCS and other public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60 Day Notice of Violation" which provided DCS and such public enforcers with notice that DCS was allegedly in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for allegedly failing to warn purchasers that certain glassware products it sells in California expose users to one or more Listed Chemicals (the "Notice");
- D. On March 6, 2003, Dr. Leeman filed, but did not serve on DCS, a complaint for restitution and injunctive relief entitled Whitney R. Leeman., Ph.D. v. Discovery Channel Store, Inc. San Francisco County Superior Court Case Number CGC-03-418037, naming DCS as a defendant and alleging violations of Business & Professions Code § 17200 and Business & Professions Code § 17500 on behalf of individuals in California who allegedly have been exposed to one or more Listed Chemicals contained in certain products (the "Complaint");
- E. On April 16, 2003, Dr. Leeman filed, and subsequently served on DCS, the first amended complaint for restitution, injunctive relief, and civil penalties naming DCS as a defendant and alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 on behalf of individuals in

California who allegedly have been exposed to one or more Listed Chemicals contained in certain products (the "Amended Complaint"); and

F. This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties intend this Consent Judgment to be a full and final adjudication of all claims that were or could be asserted in this action based upon the matters alleged in the Notice, Complaint and Amended Complaint as set forth herein. A description of the products which are covered by this Consent Judgment is provided in the Notice, attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Products"). Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by DCS of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by DCS of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this Paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of the Parties under this Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, DR. LEEMAN AND DCS HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Product Warnings. DCS agrees that it will not knowingly sell any Products containing the Listed Chemicals in the State of California unless such Products comply with Paragraphs 1.1 or 1.2 below:
- 1.1 Beginning within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, for all Products sold in DCS's California retail outlet stores, the following warning statement shall be given for the Products on the Products' packaging, or at or near their point of sale or display:

"WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this glassware product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm."

19

The warning statement shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase.

The sign attached as Exhibit B hereto may be used for this purpose if warnings are to be provided via store signage. However, this sign must be sufficiently close in proximity to the Products so the consumer is made aware that the sign specifically applies to those glassware products that contain lead, and does not apply to those glassware products designed with lead free materials. Any changes to the language, format, size or posting location of the warning required by this Paragraph shall only be made after: 1) DCS provides written notice of the proposed changes to counsel to Dr. Leeman at least fifteen (15) days before such proposed changes are served on the California Attorney General's Office and 2) Dr. Leeman or the California Attorney General's Office approves of the proposed changes.

The label attached as Exhibit C hereto may be used for this purpose if warnings are provided via labeling. The labels may be affixed to the Product's packaging provided that the packaging is available to the plain view of the consumer prior to purchase and the label has at least the same size and contains the same language and format as that appearing on Exhibit C. Any changes to the language, format, size or location of the warning required by this Paragraph shall only be made after: 1) DCS provides written notice of the proposed changes to counsel to Dr. Leeman at least fifteen (15) days before such proposed changes are served on the California Attorney General's Office approves of the proposed changes.

- 1.2 The warnings required pursuant to Paragraphs 1 and 1.1 above shall not he required for Products which:
 - (a) if the painted decoration is solely on the exterior of the Product exclusive of the top 20 millimeters of the ware (i.e., below the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test Method C927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area"), produce either a nondetectable test result or a test result no higher

than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead (depending on whether flame AAS with a level of quantification of at least 100 parts per billion or graphite furnace AAS is applied for the analysis, respectively; however, DCS may only utilize flame AAS to meet the foregoing requirement if it is not commercially reasonable for it to use graphite furnace AAS) using a Ghost Wipe TM test applied on painted portions of the surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100; or

- (b) if the painted decoration extends into the exterior Lip and Rim Area or the interior (food contact surface) of the Product, a test result acceptable under Subparagraph (a) above, and (1) a result of 0.5 micrograms/milliliter (ug/ml) of lead or less using ASTM method C 927-99 with respect to any decoration in the Lip and Rim Area and/or (2) a result of 0.1 parts per million (ppm) of lead or less using AOAC/ASTM method 973.32 with respect to any decoration on the interior (food contact surface) if the Product is holloware or a result of 0.226 ppm of lead or less using AOAC/ASTM method 973.32 with respect to any decoration on the interior (food contact surface) if the Product is flatware; or
- (c) utilize paints on all decorations containing four one hundredths of one percent (0.04%) lead by weight or less (as measured by a sample size of the paint measuring approximately 50-100 mg) and contain no painted decoration within any part of the interior (food contact surface) of the Product or in the Lip and Rim Area of the Product.

Products satisfying the conditions of this Paragraph are hereinafter referred to as "Reformulated Products."

2. Payment Pursuant To Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), DCS shall pay \$21,900 in civil penalties no later than 10 days after the Effective Date of this Agreement. The penalty payment specified above is to be made payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP In Trust For Whitney R. Leeman." In the event that DCS pays any penalty and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Dr. Leeman shall return any penalty funds paid under this Paragraph within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from DCS following notice of issuance of the Court's decision. In

6

10

16

15

17 18

· · · 19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

the event the Consent Judgment is entered by the Court, those penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Dr. Leeman in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.

- 3. Reimbursement Of Attorneys' Fees And Costs. The Parties acknowledge that Dr. Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. DCS then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Dr. Leeman and her counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, DCS shall reimburse Dr. Leeman and her counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating. bringing this matter to DCS's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. DCS shall pay Dr. Leeman and her counsel \$34,500 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs incurred through the anticipated date that the court approval of this Agreement has been reported to the California Attorney General's Office. Payments made under this Paragraph shall be made no later than 10 days after the Effective Date. Payment should be made payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP." In the event that DCS pays any funds pursuant to this Paragraph and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter ultimately approved and entered by the Court, Dr. Leeman shall, upon DCS's written request, return any funds paid under this Paragraph within fifteen (15) days of effective written notice of the Court's decision.
- 4. Post-Execution Activities. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Agreement. Accordingly, the Parties agree to use their best efforts to file a Joint Motion to

Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of which DCS shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after execution of this Agreement (i.e., not to exceed fourteen (14) days unless otherwise agreed to by Dr. Leeman's counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). DCS shall have no additional responsibility to Dr. Leeman or Dr. Leeman's counsel pursuant to C.C.P. §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Dr. Leeman's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon. If Dr. Leeman believes that a joint motion cannot be filed in a timely fashion after the initial fourteen (14) day period, she may file the Motion unilaterally.

5. Dr. Leeman's Release Of DCS. Dr. Leeman, by this Agreement, on behalf of herself, her agents, representatives, attorneys, assigns and in the interest of the general public. waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims, liabilities, obligations, losses, costs, expenses, fines and damages, against DCS and its respective distributors, customers, directors, officers, employees, parents, corporate affiliates (such as sister companies within the same corporate family), and the successors and assigns of any of them, whether under Proposition 65 or the Business & Profession Code § 17200 et seq. or § 17500 et seq. based on the alleged failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals contained in Sleep Well Tonight. Dr. Leeman, by this Agreement, on behalf of herself, her agents, representatives, attorneys, and assigns, also waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims, liabilities, obligations, losses, costs, expenses, fines and damages, against DCS and its respective distributors, customers, directors, officers, employees, parents, corporate affiliates (such as sister companies within the same corporate family), and the successors and assigns of any of them, under Proposition 65 or the Business & Profession Code § 17200 et seq. or § 17500 et seq. based on the alleged failure to warn about exposure to Listed Chemicals in association with Sleep Well Tonight. The releases provided for by this Paragraph shall not extend to any manufacturer or licensee of Sleep Well Tonight.

25

26

27

27

28

The parties intend that compliance with this Agreement shall resolve any issue now, in the past, or in the future concerning Sleep Well Tonight's past and present, and future (up to the date of compliance established in Paragraph 1, above, and in the future so long as DCS complies with this Agreement) compliance with Proposition 65 as such compliance pertains to the Products at issue.

The parties agree that compliance with this Agreement in regard to the Products shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 for those Products.

- 6. DCS's Release Of Dr. Leeman. DCS, by this Agreement, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Dr. Leeman and her attorneys or representatives, for all actions or statements made by Dr. Leeman, and her attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 or California Business & Profession Code § 17200 or § 17500 against DCS in this Action.
- 7. Sales Data. DCS understands that the sales data provided to counsel for Dr. Leernan by DCS was a material factor upon which Dr. Leernan has relied to determine the amount of payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in this Agreement. To the best of DCS's knowledge, the sales data provided accurately reflects sales of DCS's Products in its own retail stores in California. In the event that Dr. Leeman discovers facts that demonstrate to a reasonable degree of certainty that the sales data DCS has provided is materially inaccurate, the parties shall meet in a good-faith attempt to resolve the matter within ten (10) business days of DCS's receipt of notice from Dr. Leeman of her intent to challenge the accuracy of the sales data. If this good-faith attempt fails to resolve Dr. Leeman's concerns, Dr. Leeman shall have the right to reinstitute an enforcement action against DCS, for those additional Products, based upon existing 60 Day Notices of Violation served on DCS where the subject of the Notices is Decanters and Other Glassware with Colored Designs on the Exterior (containing lead) in Boxed Gift Sets, Such as Sleep Well Tonight #674341. In such case, all applicable statutes of limitation shall be deemed tolled for the period between the date Dr. Leeman filed the instant action and the date Dr. Leeman notifies DCS that she is reinstituting

the action for the additional Products. Provided, however, that: a) Dr. Leeman shall not have the option of exercising her rights under this Paragraph more than one year following the Effective Date and b) DCS shall have no additional liability, and Dr. Leeman waives any claims that might otherwise be asserted, from the Effective Date until the date that Dr. Leeman provides notice under this Paragraph 7.0, so long as DCS has complied with the requirements of Paragraph 1 for all of the Products, including those numbers of Products additionally discovered.

- 8. Court Approval. The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Joint Motion by the Court in a timely manner. If, for any reason, this Consent Judgment is not ultimately approved by the Court within twelve (12) months following the Effective Date, this Agreement shall, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Parties, be deemed null and void, and all monies provided to Dr. Leeman or her counsel shall be refunded to DCS within fifteen (15) days after receipt of written notice to Dr. Leeman's counsel from DCS pursuant to this Paragraph.
- 9. Severability. In the event that any of the provisions of this Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 10. Attorneys' Fees. In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provisions of this Agreement (including, but not limited to, disputes arising from the provisions in Paragraphs 2 and 3), the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 11. Governing Law. The terms of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and shall apply within the State of California.
 - 12. Notices. All correspondence to Dr. Leeman shall be mailed to: Gregory Sheffer Sheffer & Chanler, LLP

SF:27107180.1

1	15. Authorization. The undersigned are authorized to execute this Agreement on		
2	behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and		
3	conditions of this Agreement.		
4			
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
6	DATE: 12/17/53	DATE:	
7			
8	Mikuleman		
9	Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. PLAINTIFF	Michael L. Ulica Chief Financial Officer	
10		Consumer Products	
11		The Discovery Channel Store, Inc., a subsidiary of Discovery Communications, Inc.	
12		DEFENDANT	
13			
14	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
15	DATE: 12/14/43	DATE:	
16	SHEFFER & CHANLER LLP	MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP	
17	1. 111 12	•	
18	Mara (Hint Can	And C. Complete	
19	Gregory M. Sheffer Attorneys for Plaintiff	Ann G. Grimaldi Attorneys for Defendant	
	Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D.	The Discovery Channel Store, Inc., a subsidiary of Discovery Communications, Inc.	
20		buonalay of Misouvery Communications, inc.	
21	Přic Abberen Abroven 12m	DECREED desired and a second	
22	IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that judgment is hereby entered in		
23	accordance with the terms of this Consent Jud	gment between the parties.	
24			
25	DATE:	Judge of the Superior Court	
26			
27			
28		11 ~	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT		

SF:27107180.1

...

SF:27107190.1

EXHIBIT A

- 12 -

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT

SF:27107180.1

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION

sent in compliance wite california health & safety code \$25249.7(4)

February 7, 2003

To:

California Attorney General's Office:

District Attorney's Office for 58 Counties:

City Amorneys for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, Sacramento and Los Angeles; Michala English, President - Discovery Channal Store, Inc., a subsidiary of Discovery

Communications, Inc.

Prom:

Dr. Whitney R. Leeman

INTRODUCTION

My name is Whitney R. Learnen. I hold a Doctor of Philosophy degree in Environmental Engineering. I am a citizen of California setting in the interest of the general public. I seek to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and, if possible, to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. This letter is provided to the parties listed above pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 of seq. ("Proposition 65"). As required, itotice is also being provided to the violator, Discovery Chemical Store Inc., a subsidiary of Discovery Communications, Inc. (the "Violator"). The violations covered by this notice counist of the routes of exposures and type of harm potentially resulting from such exposure to the following toxic chemical or chemicals ("fisted chemicals"):

LISTED CHRMICALS

ROLLIES OF EXPOSURE

TYPES OF HARM

Lead and lead compounds (hereafter "lead")

Ingustion, Decreal

Birth Defrets and Other Reproductive Harm

NATURE OF ALLEGED VIOLATION (PRODUCT EXPOSURE)

The specific type or types of products (hereafter the "products") that are sensing consumer and occupational exposures in violation of Proposition 65 (and that are covered by this notice) are listed on Exhibit A. The Violator's sales of these glassware products have been occurring from Pebruary 7, 2000 to the present. As a result of the sales of these products, exposures to the listed chemicals have been occurring without clear and reasonable warnings as required by Proposition 65. Without proper

warnings regarding the topic effects of exposures to the listed chemicals produced by the products.

California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the toxic chemicals from reasonably decreaseable use of the products.

CONSUMER PRODUCT EXPOSURE

California consumers, through the set of buying, acquiring or utilizing the products, are exposed to the listed chemicals. For example, exposures occur when California citizens use, display, clean, repair, pack, unpack, assemble, disassemble, arrange, store or otherwise handle the products. These tasks course consumers to be exposed through the routine brushing of the parts or portions of the products containing readily available surface lead/lead compounds. Additionally, exposure can occur through the routine touching of other materials that are contaminated with the lead/lead compounds as a result of those tasks. People likely to be exposed include both children and adults.

OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE

Similarly, men and women in California use the products as a part of their jobs and are, therefore, subject to competional exposures to the listed chemicals. Boxployees are exposed at the California business locations of the apparent manufacturer, distributor and retailer (and their agents, sasigns and divisions) as well as all other California locations where the products, or the component parts thereof that include the listed chemicals, are used, packed, papacked, labeled, assembled, disassembled, erranged, displayed, cleaned, stocked, stored, repaired or otherwise handled. Those tasks cause employee exposure though the routine touching of the parts or portions of the products containing readily available surface lead/lead compounds. Additionally, exposure sen occur through the render truching of other materials that are contaminated with the lead/lead compounds as a result of these: tasks. These products are also used by sole proprietors and other persons in settings not covered by the OSH Act. This portion alleges the violation of Proposition 65 with respect to occupational exposure sovermed by the California State Plan for Occupational Safety and Health. The State Plan incorporates the provinions of Proposition 65, as approved by Pederal OSHA on June 6, 1997. This approval specifically placed certain conditions with regard to occupational exposures on Proposition 65, including that it does not apply to the conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California. The approval also provides that an employer may use the means of compliance in the general hazard communication requirement to comply with Proposition 65. It also requires that supplemental enforcement is subject to the supervision of the California Occupational Safety and Health

Administration. Accordingly, any sattlement, civil complaint, or substantive count orders in this matter ... must be submitted to the Attorney General.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Please direct all questions constraing this notice to my counsel at the following address:

Gregory M. Sheffer, Req. Sheffer & Chanler LLP 160 Sansome Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, GA 94104 Telephone: (415) 434-9111 Facsimils: (415) 434-9115

PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For general information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, please feel free to contact the Office of Bovirozmantal Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 implementation Office at (916) 445-6900. For the Violator's raference, I have attached a copy of "Proposition 65; A Summary" which has been prepared by ORHHA.

ADDITIONAL NOTICE INFORMATION

THE DEFORMATION IS NOT REQUIRED TO BE PROVIDED UNDER CAL CODE REGS. HTTLE 22 I 1290 (N).

A specific example of the type of offending product identified herein (#674341) was recordly purchased and wimersed being available for purchase or use in California at rotal stores owned or controlled by the Violator in Contra Costa County, Northern California. Based on publicly available information, the Violator is the manufacturer and a retailer of the products at issue.

EXHIBIT A

PRODUCTS

TOXINS

Decembers and Other Glassware with Colored
Designs on the Exterior (containing lead) in Boxed
Gift Sets

Lead and lead compounds

[&]quot;Such as Slow Froil Tonight #574341

This specifically identified example of the type of produce rubbeer to this Notice is for the recipient's benefit and it not meant to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending product.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declars under penalty of porjusy:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is 160 Sansums Street, 2⁵⁶ Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104,

On February 7, 2003, I served the following document:

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & BAFFIY CODE \$25249,7(d);

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; AND

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ATTACEMENTS (BERVED ONLY ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL)

served on the Violator listed below via 2nd Day Air Service by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to such Violator and placing such suvelope in a United Parcal Service Drop-Off Box:

Michela English, President
Discovery Channel Store, Inc. a
subsidiary of Discovery
Communications, Inc.
1508 4th St.
Berkeley, CA 19 1710

as wall as providing copies of the notice to the public enforcers by placing a true and correct copy in a scaled sovelope, addressed to cash party listed below, and served as follows:

Via I th Day Air Service by placing such envelope in a United Parcel Service Drop-Off Box:	The Amorney General of the State of California;
By placing each envelope in a United States Postal Service mailbox, first class postage	The District Attorney for Each of the 58 counties in California; and
prepaid;	The City Attorney for Los Angeles, San Diego, San Jose, San Francisco and Sacratamento;

A list of addresses for each of these recipients is attached.

Brecuted on Pobruary 7, 2003, at San Prancisco, Californi

Thompson

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Sufery Code Sestion 25249.7(d)

I, Gragory M. Shuffer, heraby declare:

- 1. This Cartificate of Marit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice has violated Health and Safety Code scotton 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- I sen the attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevent and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of this setion.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and munitarious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alloged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Cartificate of Merit served on the Attorney General structes to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including information identified in Health and Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2) (i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, amilian, or other data reviewed by those persons.)

Dated: 2/7/03

Gregory M. Sheder

The Hambards Tyru, Gapti Associate Closely Resides Associate USS Pattern Royal, Fallows (SE)

34.

٠,

The Reputation That State August County Collect Adjust 725 Count Street, SSMI Judgest, CA 16673

> Tin Heardlot, Alm Turns Andry County Dable Allemay P.D. San Jol Lightgootte, CA 18118

The Harappers Halland Personal Redin Calung (Dated Adgress), Pathin, 2003. Si Comain Carelin Dates Orgadio, EA 19864

The Hammada sellen Traile Calments County (Marita Alleston) Calmented County Ban Andreas, CA. Mileton

The Hauselphia Asia II, Playane Carine County (Males Allescop an'i Harrien Haral Colone, GA 19850)

Tim Harandia Sary T. Ydany Sary's Could Church Sarthii Adhabay P.C. Sar 173 Jidomas, Ch. 1455

Palest J. Drawel Del Marie Cresty Cristic Maries 482 H Street Oversel CDJ, SA KASSI

Special Committee Committe

The Happarain Securit Hard. Prisons Charles Childs Allerton Section Trains Security Childs Prisons, CA 1977

The Harander Substitution of the Court Substitution of Substit

The Hawmale Toply A. Parties Hargard County Contai Administ ATA DR Mang Extrata, CA SMIN

The Management Chapt & Common Property County Printed Advances Ed Value Annual Street & County, CA 9200

The Hateralds PAGE AND AND AND PROPERTY OF MICH.

The Hannethia Stand P. Japan Yang Chang Shitts Allesson 12 to Parties Andrew Salesting CA 1870

"The Hampship Darried Callenge Plays Charally Sharks Allackage 1422 West Laurie Bandryand Hambarla CA 65222 To Hammado Bay Last Last Capaly Thirtie Albany Jan J. Palmo Brass Lastrat, CA 1845

. ...

The playerable Makery Report Lawrent County (Makery Addition)
20 E. Lawrent Street, Marrison County (Lawrent Lawrent L

Tim Houselin Blass Oping Law Anguist Epuny States Agustay 270 Hours Yough Street, Sales (MIRI Lan Angulos, CA, 1888)

The restriction Especial Little Markey (Little Albrew) (Little

The Hannesto Park Hanney Mark Cause Corte Marry Sig (Carle Cause (Lain, Room MS Sig Rates), CA, \$453

Tim Paramakh Cirjeliya Jahasan Sanjaran Garmy Cirlem Adhejdy P.C. Tida Teli Marjanda, Cir. 18038

The planes with physical L. Marines Mandanian County Plants; Adelbury P.D. Box 1800 Union, CA 88412

The Panarythin Guiden Standar Minist Guardy Dablid Alestry 2002 M. Shaqi Marad, CA. 1973-47

The Harvetskin Tenn Humagher Market, Constr's Charlet, Asherony P.D., Then 1979 Alberta, CA 198191

The steership Groups Start Mary County District Arteman P.O. Box 872 Bengapusi, Ch. 18017

The Harmfolis Comp Physics Managery Charlet Physics Albertay 340 Chards Street Bullion, CA 80801

The Homerstee Step Laborates Name County (Nothick Flowly) Erit Purchase Mid Rope, CA. 1988)

The Harapolith Miles Programs Marine County Cleaner, Adapting ST (Charges Street, Andread Horasta City, CA, 1988)

The Homestife Tray Plantations Orange County Dearth Alectory 770 Cirls Corps (Silve Visio, Styl Plant Switz-Aus, CA 8278)

The Honordia Brai Parengija Peter Churt Dhelei Alluray 1988 B Assaul, Dhille Chant Aphre, CA 1983

The Harmonian America Particles Planyas Chandy Children Albanes PRO Temp. Street, Yourne 494 Chilong, CA. Stall? The Property Street County of The Land County Street Library and Land County Street Library and Land County Street Library Str

The phomoghic day Burgly Standard County Displict Allocaty P.D. May 148 Successful, CA, 19814

Lating by paighting the barrens between the barrens bearing the ba

The Heropold David Stand Sen James Couldy Shade Adapting 216 M. Morgan Van Andrew Six Davigson, CA 82414

No Hymratic Paul Plant Jun: Dags Darlet, Adequay 25 M. Rossing, Brist 1938 App Dings, CA \$4(1)

Tipo Tipopethin Timeten Fellipen Ban Atomphon Calledy Shiftyn Aderbug Jilli Gayay Banas Ban Frankan, CA Milita

The Harperido John Filling
Day Laught Chardy (India) (Barrey
F.C. per 1861
Decides, CA (AST)

The Hermitian Goods State. San Lain Charlet Courty Charlet Alternyy 1863; Mandrey Civert, Player 455 San Lair Chings, CA. 45458

The Hamestic James P., Plan Bur Liebe Co-nir Dissist James 473 David Co-nix, Tifed Plan Turbusti Dig, SA Millia

The Hangelin Thomas W. Bradden, &. Rapis, Webers Dately Cheld Albany 1763 Bergs Jacksto Almai Anna Bergers, Cd. 18701

The Paramete George Security Anoth Clark Security Securit Asserted 78 Wash Feeding Securit Wheel Mary Services, ISA 581160

The Hartenski Kalt Gadile Banko Gest, Castily Spepti Alembiy 701 Charot Bjraf. Baldo Gras, CA. 1886 (

Tim Hayardife Madlager East Shorts Charge (Sabid Magnay 1986 Charl Maner, Tight Filam Radding, CA 1987)

Tip Hayandig Elpert O'Gallean Marie Gamby (Indich Adareny Carthama, P.S. Sta 627 Donalarita, CA 82398

The Paparative Published Strappes County County Alleryon P.D. Say Mil. Yana, CA. SECT

The Hammadon South Pagaran Balance Sangar Shaling Malanday SAS Chilate Annual Pagasing, CA. Spalling To Jacobs Hills Hills Server Courty Held Allery (C) AdoleState Siles, Name III Seek Park, CA (1982)

To Imporite in Dystigs Sentings (Senty States Adjusts 1961 Sept. Page 20 JP.C. Sen 40 Senting St. Sept.

The foreign Carl N. Adapt Subv. Crural Chains. Allering Add Smirel Stand Trans Chr. CA. 1880

The Harperido Stage Colors Triggers Control Golden Alberta P.S. Box P.H Rev Staff, CA. Stage

The Hammatic Copie L. Charac Tanky County Chapter Assembly P.A. Stee Tall Minney Mrs. CA. Steel

The Haywords PAS Class Tudon County Charlet Adapta 201 & Marrier Rose, 242-250 Vange, EA 18281

The Harmon's Theath! Assembles Traderon Galery (SATH Albung) 2 Sand Great Breat, SA. 6807)

The Hammadie Scholari Berging Vingers County Staving Albany SSE Staving Vingers Programs Vingers, LA SLAM

The Haropalite Doeld C. Harobatted Volta Doesty Shorty Alburgar 30's Boostyl Shout Thurstone, CA. 38565

The frequency Charles Madigaly, Value Courses Charles Assuring Byll 1985, Square Maryotta, CA. Secry

hij. Restaurė iš Sudaustino City of Lan Ampadia City Albertus Scio Harph Shain (Gryce) Lan Angelon, Ch. 50312

See Just Cir Missen/o Odise 151 West Mission Wrest 201 June, DA 19119

The Manageria Galay C. Sadar San Elapo Che Albanaph (Elan 120: 30 Armana, San 1804 San Elapa, Ca. 1878)

Stepped L. Japhany Stepped City, Allerty de Pilles (M. 1846) Stepped City, Part Backwoods, CA, (MTM)

Despite I. Hagare Sup Privates City Alberta's Differ City Hol., Floors 201 Sup Francisco, CA, 64198

Gilles of the Colfesia Alberty States Prevalen III Exhausted Historing Assistat Prop III Constant P.C. for 1995 Colfest Gill 1995 Colfest CA 1995

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead agency for the implementation of the Saje Drinting Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and its implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25248.5 through 25249.13. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, Sactions 12000 through 14000.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicale that are known to the State of California to cause cancer, or pirth defects or other reproductive harm. This list must be updated at least once a year, Over 550 chemicals have been listed as of May 1, 1998. Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise anguga in activities involving those chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable marnings. A business is required to warn a person before "movingly and intertionally" exposing that person to a listed chamical. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must; (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defeats or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or also is exposed. Exposures are exempt from the warning requirement if they occur tess than twelve months after the date of listing of the chemical.

Prohibition from electranges into drinking weiter. A business must not knowingly discharge or relocate a listed charmion! Into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pase into a source of drinking water.
Discharges are exempt from this requirement if they occur less than twenty months after the date of lating of the charmion!.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. The law example:

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, State or local government, or well as entities operating public water avelents, are example.

gualnazaes with nine or fewer employees. Naither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employees, total of nine or fewer employees.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chamicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("cardrogens"), a warring is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk," This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "no significant risk" levels for more than 250 listed carcinogens.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("reproductive toxicants"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" (NOEL)," divided by a 1,000-fold safety or uncertainty factor. The "no observable effect level" is the highest does level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or developmental effect.

Discharges that do not result in a "eignificant amount" of the Relad chemical emissing into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharges is able to demonstrate that a "eignificant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not enter any drinking water source, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, parmits, requirements, or orders. A "eignificant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no eignificant risk" or "no observable effect" test if an individual were exposed to such an amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION & ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil inwestre. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain oily attorneys (those in clies with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawvelle may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and oily attorney, and the business scouped of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the melpient to assess the nature of the elleged violation. A notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements apacified in regulations (Title 22, Cationia Code of Regulations, Section 12903). A private party may not pursue an entorcement action directly under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to give paralless of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation, in addition, the business may be ordered by a court of law to stop committing the violation.

Fer Further Information Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 implementation Office at (916) 445-5900.

EXHIBIT B

PROP 65

WARNING

The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this glassware product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

- 13 -

EXHIBIT C

Massized: The asterials used on the exterior decoration of this product contain lead, a chemical known to the state of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

- 14 -